```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
 1
    United States Attorney
    ROBERT E. DUGDALE
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Criminal Division
                                                   E-FILED 08/26/2013
    SHAWN J. NELSON (CA Bar No. 185149)
    Assistant United States Attorney
 4
         1500 United States Courthouse
 5
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-5339
 6
         Facsimile: (213) 894-3713
 7
         E-mail: shawn.nelson@usdoj.gov
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 9
10
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR No. 12-01119-GHK
13
               Plaintiff,
                                     [PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINDINGS
                                     REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS
14
                 v.
                                     PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
15
     CHANTILLE MOORE,
               Defendant.
16
17
18
```

The Court has read and considered the Second Stipulation Regarding Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on August 22, 2013. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that provide good cause for a finding of excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance would be likely to make a continuation of the

proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

- 1. For purposes of computing the date under the Speedy Trial Act by which trial must commence as to defendant CHANTILLE MOORE, the time period of May 20, 2013, through September 16, 2013, inclusive is excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv).
- 2. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 8/26/13

DATE

THE HONORABLE GEORGE H. KING UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Presented by:

/S/ Shawn J. Nelson

SHAWN J. NELSON

Assistant United States Attorney